

Energy Scale and Coherence Effects in Small- x Equations

Marcello Ciafaloni

*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università, Firenze
and INFN, Sezione di Firenze, Italy*

Abstract

I consider the next-to-leading high-energy cluster expansion of large- $\mathbf{k}$ double jet production in QCD, and I determine the corresponding one-loop quark and gluon impact factors for a self-consistent energy scale. The result shows that coherent angular ordering of emitted gluons holds for hard emission also, and singles out a scale which is essentially the largest virtuality in the process. Both remarks are relevant for the precise determination of the BFKL kernel at the next-to-leading level.

PACS 12.38.Cy

Various attempts to understand the small- x HERA data on structure functions and final states [1] have stimulated the analysis of high-energy QCD beyond the leading-logarithmic approximation [2].

After several years of investigation, the high-energy vertices needed for the next-to-leading (NL) approximation have been computed [3–8], and the irreducible part of the NL kernel of the BFKL equation [2] has been written out explicitly [9–11]. It turns out that the NL terms provide (sizeable) corrections to the hard Pomeron intercept and to the singlet anomalous dimensions [10].

However, the definition of the NL kernel is not free of ambiguities which have prevented, so far, a complete quantitative analysis of the NL results. The most important ambiguity is due to the dependence of some NL features on the determination of the physical scale of the squared energy s , in the $\log s$ dependence of the cross sections.

In a hard process like DIS, the scale of s is taken to be Q^2 , the virtuality of the photon or electroweak boson involved. Thus the structure functions are basically dependent on the Bjorken scaling variable $x = Q^2/s$, with scaling violations induced by $\alpha_s(Q^2)$. Similar considerations can be made for double DIS [12] or quarkonium production, where two hard scales are present.

On the other hand, the high-energy cluster expansion needed for the definition of the NL kernel, has been mostly investigated in the case of parton-parton scattering, in which no physical hard scale is present. In order to define such scale(s), we have to deal with a partial cross section, by fixing the virtualities $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2$ of the off-shell gluon Green's function by high-energy factorization (Fig. 1a). Experimentally, this corresponds [13] to the cross section associated with the production of two jets with given transverse momenta $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2$ and large relative rapidity, in the fragmentation regions of the incoming partons A, B.

We can then define the scale $s_0(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ as the one occurring in the logarithmic behaviour of the gluon Green's function $G(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2; s)$, whose loop expansion reads

$$G = 1 + \left(K_0 \log \frac{s}{s_0(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} + \text{const.} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} K_0^2 \left(\log \frac{s}{s_0} \right)^2 + K_{NL} \log \frac{s}{s_0} + \text{const.} \right) + \dots \quad (1)$$

where we have introduced the leading and next-to-leading operator kernels K_0, K_{NL} . It becomes then clear that the determination of K_{NL} on the basis of an explicit two-loop calculation is dependent on the choice of s_0 : a change in the latter is a NL effect which induces a change in K_{NL} , for the expansion (1) to be left unchanged.

More precisely, I will assume in the following a $\mathbf{k}$ - factorized form for the differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma^{AB}}{d[\mathbf{k}_1]d[\mathbf{k}_2]} = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{s}{s_0(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)} \right)^\omega \frac{\pi}{\omega} h_\omega^A(\mathbf{k}_1) G_\omega(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) h_\omega^B(\mathbf{k}_2) \quad (2)$$

where $d[\mathbf{k}] = d^{2(1+\epsilon)}\mathbf{k}/\pi^{1+\epsilon}$ in $D = 4 + 2\epsilon$ dimensions,

$$G_\omega = \left[1 - \frac{1}{\omega} (K_0 + K_{NL}) \right]^{-1} \quad (3)$$

is the Mellin transform of the gluon Green's function ¹ and $h^{A,B}$ are (process dependent) impact factors, to be determined also, which may carry collinear singularities due to the initial (massless) partons A,B.

In this note I will present arguments for a choice of s_0 which is consistent with the collinear properties of the partonic process and I will give the corresponding one-loop determination of the impact factors. These results can then be used to yield a precise determination of K_{NL} at two-loop level [10].

It turns out that the basic physical issue is the so-called “coherent effect” in the space-like jets, noticed by the author long ago [14]. This effect can be stated by saying that the leading log squared matrix element for gluon emission holds in a dynamically restricted phase space, in which angular ordering of the emitted gluons is required. The angular restriction determines, among other features, the energy scale also.

Let me start noting that the one-loop $Ag^*(\mathbf{k}_2) \rightarrow Ag^*(\mathbf{k}_2)$ impact factor involves the known [5] particle-particle reggeon vertex $AA'g^*(\mathbf{k}_2)$ at virtual level, and the $Ag^*(\mathbf{k}_2) \rightarrow A'g(\mathbf{q})$ squared matrix element (Fig. 1b) at real emission level. The latter quantity can be extracted from the exact squared matrix elements of Ellis and Sexton [15], and has been investigated by various authors at matrix element level [3,8,16] but has not yet been

¹An alternative formulation, not adopted here, could include an ω -independent NL factor in Eq.(3).

provided in explicit form in D dimensions, to the author's knowledge. I thus derive it directly for the quark case.

By using the notation of Fig. 1 and the Sudakov parametrization

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu &= k_1^\mu \simeq z_1 p_1^\mu - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{(1-z_1)s} p_2^\mu + \mathbf{k}_1^\mu, \\ k_2^\mu &= \bar{z}_2 p_2^\mu - \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{(1-\bar{z}_2)s} p_1^\mu + \mathbf{k}_2^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

we want to compute the $q_A q_B \rightarrow q_A q_B g$ squared matrix element in the limit of large subenergy s_2

$$s_2 = z_1 s \gg s_1 = \frac{(\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2}{z_1(1-z_1)}, \quad (\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2), \quad (5)$$

and fixed subenergy s_1 , so as to cover the parton A fragmentation region. Since s_2 is large, we can use $\mathbf{k}$ -factorization [4] to write

$$|\overline{M_{qg^* \rightarrow qg}}|^2 = \sum_{\text{spins colours}} |\bar{u}(p_3) A_{\mu\lambda}^{ab} u(p_1) \varepsilon^\mu 2p_2^\lambda|^2 \frac{g_s^6 C_F}{(\mathbf{k}_2^2)^2} \quad (6)$$

where, by current conservation, we can use [4] the Feynman gauge

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mu\lambda}^{ab} &= t^a t^b \frac{\gamma_\mu (\not{p}_3 + \not{q}) \gamma_\lambda}{2p_3 q} - t^b t^a \frac{\gamma_\lambda (\not{p}_1 - \not{q}) \gamma_\mu}{2p_1 q} + \\ &[t_a, t_b] \frac{1}{|k_1^2|} ((k_1 - k_2)_\mu \gamma_\lambda + (\not{k}_2 + \not{q}) g_{\mu\lambda} - (q + k_1)_\lambda \gamma_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By using physical polarizations with $\epsilon \cdot q = \epsilon \cdot p_2 = 0$ and the high-energy kinematics we can write the colour decomposition

$$A_{\mu\lambda}^{ab} \epsilon^\mu 2p_2^\lambda = A^{ab} = \{t^a, t^b\} A^{(+)} + [t^a, t^b] A^{(-)}, \quad (8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A^\pm &= C_1^\pm (\not{p}_2 p_1 \cdot \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \not{p}_2 \not{q}) + C_3^{(\pm)} (\not{p}_2 p_3 \cdot \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \not{q} \not{p}_2), \\ C_1^- &= \frac{4}{|k_1^2|} + \frac{1}{p_1 q}, \quad C_3^- = \frac{1}{p_3 q} - \frac{4}{|k_1^2|}, \\ C_1^+ &= -\frac{1}{p_1 q}, \quad C_3^+ = \frac{1}{p_3 q}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By performing the straightforward gamma function algebra and the polarization sum in $D = 4 + 2\epsilon$ dimensions, we obtain, for each colour structure, the result

$$\overline{|A|^2} = (2s)^2 P_q(\epsilon, z_1) (C_3^2 2p_3 q + C_1^2 (1 - z_1) 2p_1 q + C_1 C_3 (2p_3 q (1 - z_1) + 2p_1 q - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2^2)) \quad (10)$$

where the splitting function of $q \rightarrow g$ type

$$\frac{1}{2C_F} P_{gq} = P_q(\epsilon, z) = \frac{1}{2z} (1 + (1 - z)^2 + \epsilon z^2) \quad (11)$$

appears to *factor out* in front of the transverse momentum dependence. Finally, by introducing phase space and coupling constant

$$d\phi = \pi \left(\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{2+\epsilon}} \right)^2 \frac{dz_1 d[\mathbf{k}_1] d[\mathbf{k}_2]}{s^2 z_1 (1 - z_1)}, \alpha_s = \frac{g_s^2 \Gamma(1 - \epsilon)}{(4\pi)^{1+\epsilon}}, \quad (12)$$

and performing the colour algebra, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d[\mathbf{k}_1] d[\mathbf{k}_2] dz_1} \Big|_{\text{quark}} &= \pi \frac{(2\alpha_s C_F N_\epsilon)^2}{\mathbf{k}_1^2 \mathbf{k}_2^2} \frac{P_q(\epsilon, z_1)}{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)} \cdot \left[\frac{N_C \alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{(1 - z_1) \mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)}{\mathbf{q}^2 (\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{z_1^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2}{\mathbf{q}^2 (\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2} \right], \left(N_\epsilon \equiv \frac{(4\pi)^{\epsilon/2}}{\Gamma(1 - \epsilon)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This result agrees with the one extracted from Ref.(15) in the high-energy limit.

The cross section in Eq. (13) contains two colour factors, which have a simple interpretation, depending on the collinear singularities involved. The C_F^2 term, with singularities at $\mathbf{q}^2 = 0$ ($(\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2 = 0$), comes from the Sudakov jet region, in which the emitted gluon is collinear to the incoming (outgoing) quark.

On the other hand, the $C_F C_A$ term *is not really singular* at either $\mathbf{q}^2 = 0$ or $(\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2 = 0$, except for $z_1 = 0$, which corresponds to the central region. It comes from the “coherent” region in which the gluon is emitted at angles which are large with respect to the $q_A q_{A'}$ scattering angle, and is thus sensitive to the total $q_A q_{A'}$ charge C_A . This is the region we are interested in, which is relevant for the energy scale, because it tells us how the leading matrix element, valid in the central region, is cut-off in the fragmentation region.

Since the $C_F C_A$ term in Eq. (13) acquires the $1/\mathbf{q}^2$ singularity for $|\mathbf{q}|(1-z_1) \gg |\mathbf{k}_1|z_1$, we can write roughly, in the quark case,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d[\mathbf{k}_1]d[\mathbf{k}_2]dz_1} \right)_{\text{coherent}} &= \pi h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_1) h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\mathbf{q}^2} P_q(\epsilon, z_1) \Theta(q(1-z_1) - k_1 z_1), \\ h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) &\equiv 2\alpha_s C_F N_\epsilon / \mathbf{k}^2, \bar{\alpha}_s \equiv \frac{N_C \alpha_s}{\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The latter is the coherence effect prescription of Ref. (14), in which angular ordering

$$\frac{q}{z_1} > \frac{k_1}{1-z_1}, \quad \theta_q > \theta_{p'_1} \quad (15)$$

replaces the smooth behaviour of the differential cross-section in Eq. (13). The expression (14) is actually exact upon azimuthal averaging in $\mathbf{k}_1$ of Eq. (13), at fixed $|\mathbf{q}|$.

Let me stress the point that in Eq. (14) the $q \rightarrow g$ splitting function $\sim P_q(\epsilon, z_1)$ factors out in front of the leading matrix element for *any value of* z_1 , not only for $z_1 \ll 1$ as assumed originally [14]. Therefore, Eqs (13) and (14) form the basis for the interpolation between Regge region ($z_1 \ll 1$, any $\mathbf{k}_1^2/\mathbf{q}^2$) and collinear region ($\mathbf{k}_1^2/\mathbf{q}^2 \ll 1$, any z_1), in small- x equations of CCFM type [14, 17].

We are now in a position to start checking Eqs (1) and (2) and determining scale and impact factors. Let me start with a qualitative argument based on Eq. (14). By introducing the gluon (quark) rapidity $y = \log(z_1 \sqrt{s}/q)$ ($Y_1 = \log(\sqrt{s}/k_1)$), the restriction (15) on half the phase space becomes

$$0 < y < Y_1 - \log \frac{q}{k_1} \Theta_{qk_1} = \log(\sqrt{s}/\text{Max}(q, k_1)). \quad (16)$$

In other words, the pure phase space $q/\sqrt{s} < z_1 < 1$, (or $0 < y < \log(\sqrt{s}/q)$) is cut-off by coherence if $q < k_1$, yielding $q/\sqrt{s} < z_1 < q/k_1$.

This means that, by adding the remaining phase space related to the fragmentation region of B , the differential cross section is roughly

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d[\mathbf{k}_1]d[\mathbf{k}_2]} \right)_{\text{coherent}} \simeq \pi h^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_1) h^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\mathbf{q}^2} \left(\log \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\text{Max}(q, k_1)} + \log \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\text{Max}(q, k_2)} \right). \quad (17)$$

Therefore, the physical scale for the energy is $\text{Max}(q, k_1) \cdot \text{Max}(q, k_2)$, which can be roughly replaced by the expression

$$s_0(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \text{Max}(\mathbf{k}_1^2, \mathbf{k}_2^2) , \quad (18)$$

which has the same behaviour in the regions $q \ll k_1 \simeq k_2$, $q \simeq k_2 \gg k_1$ and $q \simeq k_1 \gg k_2$.

We have thus understood the mechanism by which the *physical scale* is provided by the largest virtuality, in qualitative agreement with what we know about deep inelastic scattering.

The coherence argument above can be made more precise by using the exact squared matrix element in Eq. (13), in the phase space region connected with the fragmentation of A . In fact it is easy to check the representation

$$\int_{q/\sqrt{s}}^1 dz_1 \frac{d\sigma}{d[\mathbf{k}_1]d[\mathbf{k}_2]dz_1} \Big|_{C_F C_A} = \pi \frac{h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_1) h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \bar{\alpha}_s}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon) \mathbf{q}^2} \left(\log \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\text{Max}(q, k_1)} + h_q(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}_1) \right) , \quad (19)$$

where the appropriate scale has been subtracted, and

$$h_q(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}_1) = \int_0^1 dz_1 \left[P_q(\epsilon, z_1) \frac{(1-z_1)\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)}{(\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2} - \frac{1}{z_1} + \log \frac{k_1}{q} \Theta_{k_1 q} \right] \quad (20)$$

is a finite constant which, by Eq. (14), *vanishes in the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit*, thus eliminating the $q = 0$ singularity in front.

The result (19), upon symmetrization, coincides with Eq. (17) for the logarithmic piece. Furthermore, the constant piece h_q can be integrated over $\mathbf{k}_1$ at fixed $\mathbf{k}_2$ and interpreted as one-loop contribution to the quark A impact factor in Eq. (2):

$$\frac{h_q^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)}{h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_2)} \Big|_{\text{real}} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \int \frac{d[\mathbf{k}_1]}{\mathbf{k}_1^2 \mathbf{q}^2} h_q(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}_1) = \bar{\alpha}_s (\mathbf{k}_2^2)^\epsilon \left(-\frac{3}{4\epsilon} - 2\psi'(1) - \frac{1}{4} \right) . \quad (21)$$

The $1/\epsilon$ pole in Eq. (21) comes as no surprise, because it corresponds to the collinear divergence at $k_1^2 = 0$ due to the $q \rightarrow g$ transition of the initial massless quark

$$(2C_F)^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_{gq}(\omega = 0) = \int_0^1 dz \left(P_q(\epsilon, z) - \frac{1}{z} \right) = -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon , \quad (22)$$

the $\frac{1}{z}$ term being included in the leading kernel K_0 .

Eq. (19) yields, upon symmetrization, the scale $\text{Max}(q, k_1) \cdot \text{Max}(q, k_2)$ mentioned before. The latter can be translated into the scale $\text{Max}(k_1^2, k_2^2)$ of Eq. (18) by the change in the constant piece

$$\delta h_q(q, k_1) = \log \frac{k_2}{k_1} \Theta_{k_2 k_1} - \log \frac{q}{k_1} \Theta_{q k_1}, \quad (23)$$

which vanishes at $q = 0$ also and, upon $\mathbf{k}_1$ integration, corresponds to the change in impact factor

$$\delta h_q^{(1)}/h_q^{(0)} = \bar{\alpha}_s \frac{1}{2} \psi'(1). \quad (24)$$

Eqs (18), (19), (21) and (24) summarize the one-loop results for scale and quark impact factor at real emission level. The virtual corrections are known [5] for both C_F^2 and $C_F C_A$ colour factors. The Sudakov C_F^2 term turns out to cancel completely with the corresponding z_1 - integrated real emission ($\omega = 0$ moment) and is thus of little concern here.

The $C_F C_A$ term, on the other hand, yields [5]

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{d\sigma}{d[\mathbf{k}_1]d[\mathbf{k}_2]} \right)_{\text{virtual}} = \pi h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_1) h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}_2) 2\omega(k_1^2) \delta^{2(1+\epsilon)}(\mathbf{q}) \pi^{1+\epsilon} . \\ & \cdot \left[\log \frac{s}{\mathbf{k}_1^2} + \left(\frac{11}{6} - \frac{N_F}{N_C} \right) - \left(3\psi'(1) + \frac{85}{18} - \frac{5}{9} \frac{N_F}{N_C} \right) \epsilon \right], \omega(\mathbf{k}^2) = -\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\epsilon} \mathbf{k}^{2\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma^2(1+\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1+2\epsilon)}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here the logarithmic term provides the gluon trajectory renormalization, which regularizes the leading BFKL kernel, the second term in square brackets is a beta-function coefficient which yields the running coupling for the overall α_s^2 factor, and half the last term adds up to Eq. (21) to yield the total one-loop correction to the quark impact factor, for the scale $\text{Max}(q, k_1) \cdot \text{Max}(q, k_2)$:

$$h_q^{(1)}(\mathbf{k})/h_q^{(0)}(\mathbf{k}) = \bar{\alpha}_s(\mathbf{k}^2)^\epsilon \left[\left(-\frac{3}{4\epsilon} + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{67}{18} - \psi'(1) - \frac{5}{9} \frac{N_F}{N_C} \right) \right]. \quad (26)$$

In the final result, Eq. (26), we have singled out the collinear singularity due to Eq. (22), so that its finite part is the last term in square brackets.

The above calculation can be repeated for initial gluons. If $D = 4$ the squared $gg^* \rightarrow gg$ matrix element can be extracted from the helicity vertices of Ref. (8) to yield

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d[\mathbf{k}_1]d[\mathbf{k}_2]dz_1} \right)_{\text{gluon}} = \frac{\pi(2\alpha_s N_C)^2}{\mathbf{k}_1^2 \mathbf{k}_2^2} P_g(z_1) \frac{N_C \alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{(1-z_1)\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2) + z_1^2 \mathbf{k}_1^2}{\mathbf{q}^2(\mathbf{q} - z_1 \mathbf{k}_2)^2}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$P_g(z_1) = \frac{1 + z^4 + (1-z)^4}{2z(1-z)} \quad (28)$$

is related to the $g \rightarrow g$ splitting function. The Sudakov and coherent regions have in this case the same colour factor, due to the final gluons' identity.

The main difference with the quark case comes from the non singular part of the splitting function, which yields a different constant piece in Eq. (19) and thus a different real emission contribution to the gluon impact factor. The latter has the expected collinear divergence related to $\hat{\gamma}_{gg}(\omega = 0)$, and a finite term, whose precise determination requires generalizing Eq. (27) to $4 + 2\epsilon$ dimensions, and adding the $gg^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ contribution. The scale determination remains, of course, unchanged.

I thus conclude that the NL one-loop calculation determines the partonic impact factors with consistent collinear behaviour, depending on the choice of scale $s_0 = \text{Max}(\mathbf{k}_1^2, \mathbf{k}_2^2)$. With this choice the LL logarithmic term has no spurious $q = 0$ singularities (as pure phase space would imply) and the NL constant piece has no $q = 0$ singularity at all. Furthermore, no spurious $\mathbf{k}_1^2 = 0$ singularities are present (as, for instance, the scale $s_0 = k_1 k_2$ would imply).

This means that the left-over ambiguity comes from a change of scale keeping the behaviour of Eq. (18) in all the regions $k_1 \ll k_2, k_2 \ll k_1, q \ll k_1 \simeq k_2$ as, for instance, $s_0 = \text{Max}(k_1, q) \cdot \text{Max}(k_2, q)$ does. In this case the change of scale can be reabsorbed in a change of impact factor without spoiling the collinear properties, as already done in Eq. (23) for the example just mentioned.

Once a self-consistent scale is chosen and the corresponding impact factors are found at one-loop level, then the two-loop calculation will determine the NL kernel unambiguously. The corresponding results and physical implications will be reported elsewhere.

I wish to thank Gianni Camici for a number of discussions and suggestions, Keith Ellis for quite helpful correspondence on his work, and Victor Fadin, Giuseppe Marchesini and Gavin Salam for stimulating conversations. This work is supported in part by M.U.R.S.T. (Italy).

References

- [1] S. Aid et al. H1 collaboration, Nucl. Phys. **B 470**(1996) 3; The ZEUS Collaboration, Z. Phys. **C 69** (1996) 607.
- [2] L.N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **23** (1976) 338; E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov and V.S. Fadin Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 199; Ya. Balitskii and L.N. Lipatov, Sov.J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 822.
- [3] V.S. Fadin and L.N. Lipatov, Yad. Fiz. **50** (1989) 1141.
- [4] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Phys. Lett. **B 242** (1990) 97; Nucl. Phys. **B 366** (1991) 135.
- [5] V.S. Fadin and L.N. Lipatov, Nucl. Phys. **B 406** (1993) 259. V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and A. Quartarolo, Phys. Rev. **D 50** (1994) 2265; Phys Rev. **D 50** (1994) 5893. V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and M.I. Kotsky, Phys. Lett. **B 389** (1996) 737.
- [6] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore and M.I. Kotsky, Phys. Lett. **B 359** (1995) 181 and Phys. Lett. **B 387** (1996) 593.
- [7] V.S. Fadin and L.N. Lipatov, Nucl.Phys. **B 477** (1996) 767; V.S. Fadin, L.N. Lipatov and M.I. Kotsky, preprint hep-ph /9704 267.
- [8] V. Del Duca, Phys. Rev. **D 54** (1996) 989; Phys. Rev. **D 54** (1996) 4474.
- [9] G. Camici and M. Ciafaloni, Phys. Lett. **B 386** (1996) 341; **B 395** (1997) 118; Nucl. Phys. **B 496** (1997) 305.

- [10] G. Camici and M. Ciafaloni, Phys. Lett. **B 412** (1997) 396, and Erratum, Phys. Lett. (to appear).
- [11] V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore, A. Flachi, and M.I. Kotsky, preprint hep-ph /9711427.
- [12] S.J. Brodsky, F. Hautmann and D. Soper, Phys. Rev. **D** (in press).
- [13] A.H. Mueller and H. Navelet, Nucl. Phys. **B 282** (1987) 727.
- [14] M. Ciafaloni, Nucl. Phys. **B 296** (1988) 49.
- [15] K. Ellis and J.C. Sexton, Nucl. Phys. **B 269** (1986) 445.
- [16] V. Del Duca and C.R. Schmidt, preprint hep-ph /9711309.
- [17] S. Catani, F. Fiorani and G. Marchesini, Phys. Lett. **B 234** (1990) 339, Nucl. Phys. **B 336** (1990) 18.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9801322v1>